UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,309	02/11/2004	Dilip Tapadiya	TAPADI.003C1	3507	
	7590 10/09/200 RTENS OLSON & BE		EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			KIDWELL, MICHELE M		
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3761		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		( <i>K</i>	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Andien O	10/776,309	TAPADIYA, DILIP	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michele Kidwell	3761	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI  36(a). In no event, however, may  will apply and will expire SIX (6) M  cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Seconds</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Experimental Experiments.	action is non-final.		5
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 31,32,34-50 and 99-102 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 31,32,34-50 and 99-102 is/are rejecte 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.			d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Noen received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3761

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 28, 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31 - 32, 34 - 47 and 99 - 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson (US 4,692,140).

With reference to claims 31 and 99, Olson discloses an irrigation kit comprising: a flexible sheet (40) having an aperture configured to engage an irrigation device (figures 2 – 3); and a basin for collecting irrigation fluid used during an irrigation procedure (col. 4, lines 35 – 39); wherein the aperture, in an enlarged state is

Art Unit: 3761

configured to fit over a conical splash shield (end of element 20) extending distally from a collar (50) attached to a tip of the irrigation device (10) as set forth in the figures.

Alternatively, the examiner notes that the reference may be applied under a different interpretation with the flexible sheet being 30 and being configured to fit over element 40 which extends distally from collar 20 attached to a tip of the irrigation device 10.

The examiner recognizes that the Olson prefers a rigid material for element 30, but Olson teaches that the element 30 may be formed of any suitable material, which may include a flexible one, as set forth in col. 3, lines 60 – 66.

The difference between Olson and claim 31 is the provision that the basin is sterilized and made of a biocompatible hypoallergenic material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to sterilize the basin of Smith and produce it with a biocompatible hypoallergenic material since the purpose of the invention is to provide a safe environment for the collection of bodily fluids (col. 1, lines 6-34).

Likewise, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device with biocompatible, hypoallergenic material in order to prevent an undesirable reaction between the patient and the product.

As to claim 32, in view of the alternate interpretation used in the rejection of claim 31, Olson discloses a cannula (40) that includes an adhesive portion as set forth in col. 46 – 49. The examiner recognizes bonding as a form of adhesion.

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claim 34, Olson discloses a flexible sheet including polyvinyl chloride as set forth in col. 3, lines 50 - 53.

With reference to claims 35, 37 – 38 and 101, see figure 2.

The difference between Olson and claim 36 is the provision that the flexible sheet has a specific thickness.

Olson discloses a flexible sheet that would ultimately have a thickness.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the thickness of Olson in order to determine the most effective product since Olson teaches the modification of such to provide a desirable product (col. 5, lines 41 - 43) and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range includes only a level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to claims 39 and 102, see the rejection of claim 36.

With reference to claims 40 - 42 and 100, Olson discloses that the shield 40 is made of a pliable latex material (polyvinyl chloride) that enables the element to be flexible and easily shaped as set forth in col. 3, lines 50 - 51.

While there is no explicit teaching of a specific elastic member, the examiner contends that the pliable latex material may function as an elastic member is certainly elastically deformable.

Regarding claims 43 – 47, the examiner contends that the use of the basin may be considered to be configured to collect fluid from any body part.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 48 – 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson (US 4,692,140) in view of Holloway et al. (US 5,381,562).

The difference between Olson and claim 48 is the provision that the basin further comprises a grommet.

Holloway teaches a basin that comprises a grommet (84) as set forth in figure 6.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the basin of Olson to provide one with a grommet because the use of a grommet encourages a liquid tight sealed relationship as taught by Holloway in col. 7, lines 14 – 20.

With respect to claims 49 and 50, see the rejection of claim 31. Additionally, Holloway teaches a basin including a frangible, convertible portion as set forth in col. 7, lines  $39 \pm 52$ .

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31 - 32, 34 - 50 and 99 - 102 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele Kidwell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761